	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/604,298	SIEVERDING, ALFONS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andrea M. Valenti	3643
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>Examiner's Amendment</u>
(1) <u>Andrea M. Valenti</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Richard M. Mescher</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 January 2007	Time: <u>10:00am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.	•	
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1 and 18		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.	•	•
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	The examiner will provide a steer record of the substance of	of the interview, since the interview
andreath Halente		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant for approval to make an examiner's amendment to add the limitations of claim 18 into independent claim 1. Also, linse 15-17 of claim 1 were amended to clarify the language. Applicant approved the change, see attached examiner's amendment for further details.